Seventh-day Adventist Sabbath School Bible Study Guide for the Fourth Quarter of 2023

This is a review of the entire Seventh-day Adventist Adult Teachers Sabbath School Bible Study Guide (SSBSG) for the fourth quarter of 2023 titled "God's Mission My Mission." In this SSBSG seven bibles are listed in the front but I found no quotation that could only be from one of those listed; the King James Version (KJV). Three of the bibles used are Roman Catholic Bibles. I counted the number of times each was used. I did not count them twice so my count could be off a little. I did not count quotations that I found could have been from more than one bible and I did not count quotations that appeared within a quotation. The numbers were as follows:

New King James Version (NKJV) quotations: 109.

King James Version quotations: 0.

New American Standard Bible quotations: 2.

New American Bible Revised Edition (NABRE): 1.

New International Version (NIV): 57.

New Revised Standard Version (NRSV) quotations: 4.

English Standard Version quotations: 7.

Memory texts were from the NKJV, the NIV and the NRSV.

There were NINE different "Principal Contributors" to the Sabbath School lessons and THREE different authors of "The Overview, Commentary, and Life Application" portions of this SSBSG.

Page numbers referenced will be as in the Standard Edition of the SSBSG unless otherwise noted.

LESSON 1. God's Mission to Us: Part 1 (9/30/23 - 10/6/23)

Right inside the front cover of the SSBSG it says:

"Scripture references other than from the King James Version quoted by permission in this Bible study guide for fourth quarter 2023 are as follows."

A similar statement has been made in previous study guides. Nothing is said about the errors in all but one of the listed bibles. Since I started keeping track of it, over FORTY different bibles have been used in the study guides. Here are some questions for you to answer.

- 1. Do you have over forty bible versions on your shelf and, if so, are they the right ones?
- 2. If you were a new Seventh-day Adventist and had one of those bibles, would you feel the need to get another one, or two or three?

- 3. If a Seventh-day Adventist minister tells you that you that you should believe the whole "the Bible" he happens to be using whilst knowing that it contains errors, what would you call him or her?
- 4. If a Seventh-day Adventist minister is using a "the Bible" containing errors and doesn't know that that "the Bible" contains errors, what would you call him or her?

Think about the answers to those questions. You can decide the answers for the first two by yourself. My answers for the last two questions will be given after the review of lesson 13.

You can find the following quotation in a book by J. H. Merle D'Aubigné (translated from the French) titled *The Life and Times of Martin Luther* on page 524.

"When the Romanist theologians saw that they could not prohibit the reformer's work, they themselves published a translation of the New Testament. It was Luther's version, altered here and there by the publishers. There was no hindrance to its being read."

If the Roman Catholic Church had to modify Luther's Bible, do you think there could be error in bibles approved by that church?

On page 10 near the bottom of the page it says that Jesus "commissioned all of His followers to make disciples around the world." Here is the verse used for that conclusion from the same "the Bible" used for the memory text. See how it compares with the KJV.

"Go therefore and make disciples of all the nations, baptizing them in the name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Spirit," Matthew 28:19 from the NKJV.

"Go ye therefore, and teach all nations, baptizing them in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost:" John 1:14 from the KJV.

The difference is in the word "make" versus "teach." Here is part of the footnote for that verse from the NABRE.

"They are to make disciples of all nations."

My NABRE has a photo of Pope Francis in the front and he's smiling. Maybe this is why. One of the ways "make" is used in the Oxford English Reference Dictionary is "make (a way) by force." You can find this under the definition of force. In this sense there is a church that has used "make disciples" in that sense. Read *Foxe's Book of Martyrs* for more information.

After the statement about making disciples, the NKJV is used for a quote from Matthew 28:20 where it says, "I am with you always, even to the end of the age." The KJV says, "unto the end of the world." Get out your NKJV concordance and find the places where it says "end of the world" in anything other than a geographic sense. Good luck. The

NKJV supports New Age doctrine in which the world doesn't end with Christ's coming; the sun just peters out in a few million years.

LESSON 2. God's Mission to Us: Part 2 (10/7/23 - 10/13/23)

The memory text for this lesson is the one that says to "make disciples." This lesson is replete with phrases like "make disciples," "making disciples," etc. People should be taught and then decide for themselves to become disciples.

On page 15 it says, "The Son created the world (*John 1:3*), and at 'the fullness of the time' (*Gal. 4:4, NKJV*), God demonstrated His love by sending the Son here (*John 3:16-17*). Here's John 1:3 from the NKJV and from the real Bible.

"In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God. He was in the beginning with God. All things were made through Him, and without Him nothing was made that was made." John 1:1-3 from the NKJV.

"In the Beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God. The same was in the beginning with God. All things were made by him, and without him was not any thing made that was made." John 1:1-3 from the KJV.

The difference is subtle; like Satan the Serpent of Eden. The NKJV says all things were made "through" the Word (Jesus) and the real Bible says they were made "by" the Word. Let's pretend we're describing a man named Ben who is personally making bread as in personally getting the flour, adding the salt, yeast, etc., forming the loaf, putting the loaf into the oven and baking it. When the bread was all finished, would you say the bread was made by Ben or through Ben? To say all things were made through the Word can be taken to mean Jesus is not quite as much God as the Father is and that He was merely the agency through which the Father created the World.

On page 16 there is Bible editing using the "original Greek language" trick to show we should "make disciples." You don't need to know Greek to understand your English Bible. The purpose of the original Greek language trick is to make you believe that whatever some blowhard says is true and to make you think that your English Bible isn't all true. Once you believe that, you can believe we can't ever really know what God said and the blowhard is free to mix and match multiple "the Bible" as he sees fit. Ellen G. White didn't know Greek, Hebrew, Aramaic, Chaldee, Waldensian or Latin and she said on page 416 of *Selected Messages Book One* that "Man is fallible, but God's Word is infallible." "Infallible" means no error at all and she was speaking about the English Bible.

In this lesson the "the Bible" used are the NKJV, the NIV, the NRSV and the ESV (the latter in the teachers comments section). Here is a passage by which to test pretty much all of the fake "the Bible" in common use to see if that "the Bible" is infallible.

"You were an anointed guardian cherub. I placed you; you were on the holy mountain of God; in the midst of the stones of fire you walked. You were blameless in your ways from the day you were created, till unrighteousness was

found in you. By the multitude of your iniquities, in the unrighteousness of your trade you profaned your sanctuaries; so I brought fire out from your midst; it consumed you, and I turned you to ashes on the earth in the sight of all who saw you." Ezekiel 28:14-15, 18 from the ESV.

That passage is about the cherub who became Satan and verse 18 says he has been "turned" (past tense) "to ashes." If that is true, Satan must be dead. My ESV is the Catholic Edition with the *nihil obstat* of "Bishop J. Susaimanikam" and the *imprimatur* of "+Oswald Cardinal Gracias Archbishop of Bombay" so Pope Francis probably likes what it says.

On page 27 of the teachers comments section it says, speaking of the early church, "The church became an environment of inclusion and acceptance (Gal. 3:28). Membership was open to all on the basis of professing faith in Christ as Savior and the public demonstration, through water baptism, of complete allegiance to Christ (Acts 2:37, 38).

The princes of the Seventh-day Adventist Church are falling all over themselves proving how inclusive and accepting they are. Was membership in the early Christian church really "open to all" just by "professing faith in Christ as Saviour" and by "water baptism"?

"It is reported commonly that there is fornication among you, and such fornication as is not so much as named among the Gentiles, that one should have his father's wife. And ye are puffed up, and have not rather mourned, that he that hath done this deed might be taken away from among you. For I verily, as absent in body, but present in spirit, have judged already, as though I were present, concerning him that hath so done this deed, In the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, when ye are gathered together, and my spirit, with the power of our Lord Jesus Christ, To deliver such an one unto Satan for the destruction of the flesh, that the spirit may be saved in the day of the Lord Jesus." 1 Corinthians 5:1-5 from the KJV.

"Buried with him in baptism, wherein also ye are risen with him through the faith of the operation of God, who hath raised him from the dead." Colossians 2:12 from the KJV.

No, the early church was not inclusive of those involved in fornication. Also it's a bit more than "water baptism." You are to be "buried" in the water. You don't bury a dead person by putting a little dirt on their head or pouring dirt over them. You actually put them in the ground and completely cover them with dirt.

Those who urge diversity, inclusivity and acceptance are also the ones who are very unaccepting of those who don't agree with them. Here is a quotation from the comments section of a recent YouTube video that demonstrates Seventh-day Adventist inclusivity in some churches. The name of the person has been changed to Benjamin though I doubt he'd care if I had left his real name since he posted this on a public forum.

God bless you Pastor Finley. My name is Benjamin, and I am the only person that I know of in the state of Minnesota, who stood up against known lesbians

transvestites and homosexuals being baptized here in the Minneapolis area for the last 20 years. They disfellowshipped me for standing against their agenda. At first they denied they were doing it, and then I could prove it and they disfellowshipped me by sending the police to my home to tell me never to come back, without even permitting me, or my wife to defend ourselves, or hear our accusers. But since this is not officially recognized by the general conferenc I am in a no man's land. nobody will defend me, or stand up for the truth here in Minneapolis so why do you think it's now that you need to speak up? I've been coming to you guys for 20 years. I've told over 1000 pastors what's happening here in Minneapolis and none of you have defended the truth here but whenever it suits you now it's OK to speak up? A man dressed up as a woman was made a Deaconess 20 years ago and no one did a thing to stop it. and now it's too late, I haven't had communion for 15 years and no one thinks to even contact my wife and I who are totally devoted Seventh-day Adventists. I'm ashamed of my church here in Minneapolis the place where the 1888 message was rejected. I've seen known lesbians passing the collection plate, wearing their biker jackets supporting their gay biker group. I've seen the youngest female elder who is a known lesbian ordained as an elder And when I objected, they came at me like demons and all of you guys who I've approached sharing this information totally ignored me like you don't care what happens. Nobody's gonna speak up because it didn't affect them right? God please put an end to this ugliness happening here. In Jesus name

As regards baptism, you can find the following on page 420 of *Spirit of Prophecy* volume 3 regarding Jewish converts to Christianity who had been baptized by John the Baptist.

"When the understanding is enlightened and the claims of the fourth commandment are urged upon the conscience, they see themselves sinners in the sight of God."

"His former baptism does not satisfy him now. He has seen himself a sinner, condemned by the law of God. He has experienced anew a death to sin, and he desires again to be buried with Christ by baptism, that he may rise to walk in newness of life. Such a course is in harmony with the example of Paul in baptizing the Jewish converts. That incident was recorded by the Holy Spirit as an instructive lesson for the church."

Did you know that? I never did until I read through *Spirit of Prophecy*. For my entire experience in the Seventh-day Adventist Church I had been under the impression that if one had been baptized by immersion in a Sunday keeping church, they could be admitted to membership in the Seventh-day Adventist Church simply by profession of faith. And it's not just that. In my opinion those who have been baptized by one holding the unbiblical office and illegitimate office of an ordained or commissioned female minister or elder have never had a legitimate baptism and should be baptized again.

Getting back to the inclusivity issue. You can read here what princes of the church did to Pastor Stephen Bohr when he was going to preach in Michigan. If you don't wish to read the article, the short answer is that they refused to let him come even though he is a Seventh-day Adventist minister in good standing. If you read the other articles provided,

the probable reasons he was refused is because he opposes the unbiblical practice of ordination of women and he teaches that those who are alive when Jesus returns will have completely abandoned sinning.

https://www.fulcrum7.com/news/2019/7/1/illinois-conference-forces-downers-grove-church-to-cancel-secrets-unsealed-event

The persecution of Stephen Bohr hasn't stopped. The Spanish Seventh-day Adventist church in Gaithersburg, MD invited him to speak to them. The ENTIRE church including the church board wished to hear him but the pastor didn't wish him to come. The pastor gave them an ultimatum and threatened to dissolve the church. The ENTIRE church save one person got up and left. Stephen Bohr is still coming.

https://www.fulcrum7.com/news/2023/8/2/potomac-pastor-threatens-to-dissolve-gaithersburg-church-board-for-inviting-bohr-to-speak-there

While the church members were meeting outside the church, the pastor and his son are said to have absconded with several thousand dollar's worth of sound equipment from the church.

https://www.fulcrum7.com/news/2023/8/6/gaithersburg-pastor-enters-church-and-takes-electronic-equipment-away

The Gaithersburg church was renting the church. Since the conference didn't own the building, they couldn't prohibit the members from meeting there and thus they are doing again.

 $\underline{https://www.fulcrum7.com/news/2023/8/12/gaithersburg-sda-resumes-meeting-in-their-original-building}$

The Gaithersburg church had about \$180,000 in the bank account. Well, that was removed leaving them with nothing. The conference had to have been complicit in this theft. Remember that when you're deciding who to give your money to.

https://www.fulcrum7.com/news/2023/8/12/the-gaithersburg-sda-bank-account-is-stripped-of-180000-by-the-conference

Once again, it is thought that the opposition to Stephen Bohr preaching is because of his stand on the ordination of women and the complete abandoning of sin by those who are living at the time Jesus returns.

LESSON 3. God's Call to Mission (10/14/23 – 10/20/23)

I found nothing wrong with this lesson other than things already covered and the use of a couple of fake bibles.

LESSON 4. Sharing God's Mission (10/21/23 - 10/27/23)

The NKJV is honored by being used for the memory text of this lesson. The subject of the lesson is about Abraham's involvement with the inhabitants of Sodom and Gomorrah.

The English word 'sodomy" derives from the attempted homosexual gang rape of men visiting Lot by men of Sodom. There is a bible called the Queen James Version (QJV) in which only eight verses have been modified, compared to the KJV, to make it arguable that homosexuality isn't sinful. Both the NKJV and the QJV start by modifying a verse describing this incident to make it easier to claim it wasn't homosexuality that was bad; it was something else.

"And they called unto Lot, and said unto him, Where *are* the men which came in to thee this night? bring them out unto us, that we may know them." Genesis 19:5 from the KJV.

"And they called to Lot and said to him, 'Where are the men who came to you tonight? Bring them out to us that we may know them *carnally*.' "Genesis 19:5 from the NKJV.

"And they called unto Lot, and said unto him, Where are the men which came in to thee this night? Bring them out unto us, that we may rape and humiliate them." Genesis 19:5 from the QJV.

Since the KJV says, "Adam knew Eve his wife; and she conceived and bare Cain" we can know that what the men of Sodom wanted to do. The NKJV also says that Eve bore Cain after Adam knew her. Italicized words in the KJV and NKJV were added by the translators to make English read appropriately. The KJV added "are" or otherwise it would have said, "Where the men that came in." There was NO REASON AT ALL for NKJV to add "carnally" except to confuse things since that word can mean something other than sexual intercourse. The QJV has added "that we may rape and humiliate them." The QJV didn't italicize the words but it is explained on page 4 in the editor's notes that the change was made because "Rapes such as this one are common between men in prison; they aren't sexual acts, they are power-dominating acts."

I have compared the verses relating to sodomites in both the QJV and the NKJV and, guess what? At least seven verses have been corrupted on this same doctrine in the NKJV and if you count the verse that's corrupted because of the modification of another verse, the number of verses corrupted increases to eight. The editors of the NKJV don't tell you what they did nor do they tell you about the dozens of other problems with the NKJV. If I had to pick between the NKJV and the QJV, I'd pick the QJV.

To see for yourself, you can get out your KJV and NKJV concordances and your KJV, NKJV and QJV bibles and look up "sodomites" and "sodomite" like I did. Another resource is a booklet I have for sale on eBay titled *Seventh-day Adventist's "the Bible"* that has the affected verses listed in parallel columns.

LESSON 5. Excuses to Avoid Mission (10/28/23 – 11/3/2023)

In the first paragraph on page 40 it says:

"When the storm came, Jonah blamed himself (Jon. 1:1-2). His attitude does reveal about the kind of world view and understanding of God or 'gods' that many had back then. While various gods, they believed, ruled in their various lands, the sea was deemed the chaotic realm of demons. In the worldview of the mariners, sacrifice was needed to appease their wrath. Although Jonah was a Hebrew, he quite possibly had a worldview that was influenced by the traditional beliefs of his times."

Think about that for a few minutes and then read this.

"He restored the coast of Israel from the entering of Hamath unto the sea of the plain, according to the word of the Lord God of Israel, which he spake by the hand of his servant Jonah, the sone of Amittai, the prophet, which was of Gathhepher." 2 Kings 14:25. From the KJV.

That was the same Jonah that the book of Jonah is about. HE WAS A PROPHET OF GOD! Do you really think he could have had the "worldview" that the mariners had about the various "gods" they had and about the sea being the realm of demons? Do you think God would have called a man to be His prophet who believed he needed to appease other gods or demons?

There's something just as ridiculous on page 67 of the teachers comments section which you won't have unless you have a teachers quarterly. It says, "Jonah's solution was to commit assisted suicide." Here's a better idea. Jonah knew the storm occurred because of him and he knew that the God who had control of the wind and waves would cease to torment the mariners if the cause of the torment, i.e., he, was out of their boat. It WAS NOT because he wanted to die.

LESSON 6. Motivation *and* **Preparation** *for* **Mission** (11/4/23 – 11/10/23)

The last sentence on the bottom of page 48 says:

"Especially in the last days, why must we be grounded in the Word of God, including the prophecies, and why is understanding them so crucial, especially for mission?"

How does it work to use a fake Bible to be grounded in the Word of God? Andrews University publishes four "the Bible" two of which are used in this quarterly. See how they work to be grounded in the Word of God and the prophecies.

"And one will say to him, 'What are these wounds between your arms?' Then he will answer, 'Those with which I was wounded in the house of my friends.' "Awake, O sword, against My Shepherd, Against the Man who is My Companion," Says the LORD of hosts. "Strike the Shepherd, And the sheep will

be scattered; Then I will turn My hand against the little ones." Zechariah 13:6-7 from the NKJV Andrews Study Bible.

"If someone asks, 'What are these wounds on your body?' they will answer, 'The wounds I was given at the house of my friends.' "Awake, sword, against my shepherd, against the man who is close to me!" declares the LORD Almighty. "Strike the shepherd, and the sheep will be scattered, and I will turn my hand against the little ones." Zechariah 13:6-7 from the NIV Andrews Study Bible.

Here are parts of the footnotes for that passage in the two English Andrews Study Bibles. The Spanish and Portuguese Andrews Study Bibles have similar notes even though those bibles say the wounds were in the hands.

"When confronted about the 'wounds' on his torso ('between your arms' probably refers to the chest or back), scars which probably resulted from self-mutilation while participating in idolatrous rituals (1 Kin. 18:28), the false prophet lies about how he received them." From the NKJV Andrews Study Bible.

"The false prophet lies about the scars, which probably resulted from self-mutilation while participating in idolatrous rituals (1 Ki 18:28)." From the NIV Andrews Study Bible.

Here is what the real Bible says.

"And one shall say unto him, What are these wounds in thine hands? Then he shall answer, Those with which I was wounded in the house of my friends. Awake, O sword, against my shepherd, and against the man that is my fellow, saith the LORD of hosts: smite the shepherd, and the sheep shall be scattered: and I will turn mine hand upon the little ones." Zechariah 13:6-7 from the KJV.

Here is what the Lord's Messenger says.

"Even the manner of His death had been shadowed forth. As the brazen serpent had been uplifted in the wilderness, so was the coming Redeemer to be lifted up, 'that whosoever believeth in Him should not perish, but have everlasting life.' John 3:16. 'One shall say unto Him, What are these wounds in Thine hands? Then He shall answer, Those with which I was wounded in the house of My friends.' Zechariah 13:6." The Acts of the Apostles page 226.

A Messianic prophecy has been destroyed and doubt has been cast on the inspiration of the Lord's Messenger by the Holy Ghost by some apostate or ignorant Andrews University scholar who knows Hebrew.

This is not the only prophecy that has been destroyed in those two "the Bible."

LESSON 7. Mission to My Neighbor (11/11/23 – 11/17/23)

On page 58 it says, "Instead of only listening to what others have to tell us, we need to read the Scriptures (the Word of God) for ourselves. I agree with that.

At the bottom of page 58 it says Jesus "always pointed people back to the Written Word." Then the question is asked, "What should this tell us about the importance of the Bible and why we must reject any philosophical or theological reasoning that lessens our trust in Bible?" Whoever wrote that must be clueless about the damage that is done by fake "the Bible" that have errors and self contradictions.

On page 61 it says that "Sometimes people of another faith may be kinder toward people in the community than we are." Many years ago when my mother was dying of leukemia, it was a Mormon family that had my sister and I over for Thanksgiving dinner. There are errors in Mormon teaching but there are many dear people in that church.

LESSON 8. Mission *to the* **Needy** (11/18/23 - 11/24/23)

In page 69 it says many Hindus complained that Christians refused their invitation to the annual Thanksgiving service but a missionary family attended "following Jesus' example." Allegedly Jesus visited His friends when they invited Him to their special celebrations. What isn't mentioned is that Jesus attended JEWISH celebrations. There is NO biblical record of Jesus ever attending a pagan "service." Keep in mind that a "service" implies a religious service while a "celebration" can be religious or secular.

In the "Teachers Comments" section of the SSBSG you will find comments that aren't in the quarterly for the lowly students. Maybe you can figure out why this was done. On page 108 there occurs the only quotation in the whole quarterly from the NABRE; a bible as Catholic as the pope. In that same Teachers Comments section there are fourteen quotations from the NIV and one from the NKJV. Here is the passage from those three fake bibles.

"Jesus said to him, 'You may go; your son will live.' The man believed what Jesus said to him and left." John 4:50 from the NABRE.

"Jesus said to him, 'Go your way; your son lives.' So the man believed the word that Jesus spoke to him, and he went his way." John 4:50 from the NKJV.

"'Go,' Jesus replied, 'your son will live.' The man took Jesus at his word and departed." John 4:50 from the NIV.

Once again, why would they do that? Did the NABRE say anything differently or better than the other two fake bibles?

LESSON 9. Mission to the Powerful (11/25/23 - 12/1/23)

On the first page of this lesson it says, "Though written many years ago, the Bible, the Word of God, is the revelation of God's truth for our world." This is from someone who apparently thinks the NKJV and the NIV are "God's truth" when those fake "the Bible" contain lies.

LESSON 10. Mission *to the* **Unreached:** Part 1 (12/2/23 - 12/8/23)

On page 83 it says, "Paul did not disparage the false religion or false gods of the Athenians." A little further down the page a verse is used from a fake bible to confirm this.

"Paul then stood up in the meeting of the Areopagus and said: 'People of Athens! I see that in every way you are very religious." Acts 17:22 from the NIV.

Allegedly this means "Paul was complimenting the pagans!"

The real Bible says it a bit differently.

"Then Paul stood in the midst of Mars' hill, and said, Ye men of Athens, I perceive that in all things ye are too superstitious." Acts 17:22 from the KJV.

And this is from the Lord's Messenger.

"In that hour of solemn responsibility, the apostle was calm and self-possessed. His heart was burdened with a important message, and the words that fell from his lips convinced his hearers that he was no idle babbler. 'Ye men of Athens,' he said, 'I perceive that in all things ye are too superstitious." *The Acts of the Apostles* page 237.

"With hand outstretched toward the temple crowded with idols, Paul poured out the burden of his soul, and exposed the fallacies of the religion of the Athenians." *Ibid.*, page 237.

No, Paul did not tell the Athenians they were too religious; he told them they were too superstitious.

On page 84, it says the Athenians were "first complimented" by Paul about "their current spiritual awareness." I don't think so.

On page 86 there is a quotation from, and I kid you not, *The Acts of the Apostles* page 240 and 241. Whoever wrote this must have skipped page 237. Not only has a biblical lesson been falsified but there is clearly a contradiction of what was written by the Lord's Messenger. How far would such falsehood go? Here follows a sample of what alleged purveyors of truth have had to say about this lesson on YouTube to tens of thousands of listeners.

The first example is found at https://youtu.be/ttwJZAiDFk4?si=Hr5QSPDKZmFE37i-. This is the YouTube channel of the revered 3ABN and the title is "'Mission to the Unreached: Part 1' | Sabbath School Panel by 3ABN – Lesson 10 Q4 2023. This channel has 379,000 subscribers and, last I looked, this video had had 82,000 views. The participants were James Rafferty, 3ABN Director of Discipleship, Daniel Perrin, 3ABN Pastoral Department Assistant Manager, Jill Morikone, 3ABN Vice President/COO, Shelly Quinn, 3ABN Program Development Manager/Producer and John Lomacang, 3ABN Direct of World Evangelism.

To Jill Morikone fell the task of discussing Paul's sermon on the "Areopagus" (Mars' Hill in the real Bible). She says, at 25:23, "Now you would think that he would come forward an, and preach against idolatry, but we don't find that. It's very interesting. I found in my two verses four principles for how to reach the unreached. Principle number one. Find something to compliment in them or their worship." To confirm this she read Acts 17:22 from her "the Bible."

"Then Paul stood in the midst of the Areopagus and said, "Men of Athens, I perceive that in all things you are very religious;" Acts 17:22 from the NKJV.

Shelly Quinn thought all was "wonderful" and I heard nothing different from the other panel participants. That means they thought the fake "the Bible" was right and Sister White was wrong.

The next example is found at https://youtu.be/okBuqZYmOPI?si=Uef6Q_0Bn-V6YrqH. This is the HopeLive365 YouTube channel and Mark Finley discussed this lesson. The title is "Sabbath School with Mark Finley | Lesson 10 – Q4 - 2023." When I last checked this channel had 229,000 subscribers and this video had had 39,000 views.

At 9:55 part of Acts 17:22-23 is posted from the NKJV. At 10:12 in discussing Paul on the Areopagus, Mark says, "And so Paul is saying look, you're pretty religious people here." Mark thinks this is "genius" and "tact" in reasoning.

A little further on, Mark quotes the lesson quarterly where it says, "He did not scoff at the negative idea of an altar to an unknown god. Instead, he appreciated and admired a people who cared enough about spiritual things to go to the effort and expense of worshiping something they didn't even know, just in case they were missing something."

Mark has also contradicted the Spirit of Prophecy.

We're not done with examples. Here's another example found at https://youtu.be/x8dRqJYHHMc?si=qpkdO7NQXjNjm6C8. Eric Flickinger, Associate Speaker of It Is Written is hosting a discussion with Jeff Scogging, President of the Minnesota Conference. As of this time, the It Is Written YouTube channel has 73,600 subscribers and this video has had 19,208 views.

At 16:12 Jeff says regarding Paul, "So he starts out, as we mentioned just a minute ago, with something respectful. You know, I can see you're very religious, an, an he himself being very religious, he respects that. He doesn't go into the fact that they're worshiping false gods or anything like that."

The KJV and Spirit of Prophecy have been dissed again.

I had posted a rebuttal in the comments section of this video using the real Bible and the Spirit of Prophecy but for some reason it's not there.

No, we're not done. A son-in-law of Ted Wilson, Kameron DeVasher, Director Michigan SSPM and Mark Howard, Associate Director, Michigan SSPM, expound on Paul's Areopagus message at https://youtu.be/68R6f2Pil4s?si=Cp69REwQWQ7IoT6P. The title is "Q4 L10 God's Mission My Mission: "Mission to the Unreached, Part 1" " and it's found on the Emmanuel Institute of Evangelism YouTube channel. This channel has 9,590 subscribers and at the time of this writing this video had been viewed 7,600 times.

Kameron DeVasher begins to discuss Acts 17:22 at 16:44 using his fake "the Bible," the NKJV, that says the Athenians were very religious. Mark thought that was "brilliant." Kameron says Paul started "with a compliment." It's true; in the fake "the Bible," Paul did start with a compliment.

Mark has given a good defense of the Spirit of Prophecy in the past but missed it a bit on this one. Kameron, who was preaching in a church I attended, never thanked me when I brought to his attention that the alleged "mistake" in the Bible wasn't a mistake and that the reading he called a mistake wasn't a mistake according to the Spirit of Prophecy.

Here's some more found at https://youtu.be/-Onp6URGQEA?si=04y_872w7GvJpbRi. This is on the YouTube Hope Sabbath School – Official channel and is titled "Lesson 10: Mission to the Unreached: Part 1." At the time of this writing that channel has 107,000 subscribers and this video had been viewed 55,212 times. Derek Morris is the ordained purveyor of truth and sitting in classroom style he has an audience of eager listeners and some online listeners are included in the class.

At 16:25 Travis, whose "the Bible" is the NKJV is called on and says, regarding Acts 17:17, "I love that it doesn't say he instructed them. It says he reasoned with them." Yeah, it's come to this quite a while ago; you pick a bible because you like what it says. The real Bible, by the way, says Paul "disputed" with the Jews.

At 28:58 a man name Puia comments on Acts 17:22. He says, "I, I find here, ah, interesting that Paul complimented them first for their, uh, devotion to, uh, being religious." I don't know what "the Bible" Puia got that from. So far in this presentation, the NIV, ESV, NKJV and probably the NLT have been used and they all say Paul said those at the Areopagus were "religious." Puia then elaborated and said, regarding Paul, "He could have said, oh, this this, is, ah, uh, weird idea or uh, uh, an unwise idea to just build a random altar."

All because of using fake a "the Bible" all of the persons that have spoken so far have selected a reading, apparently because they like what it says, that contradicts the Spirit of Prophecy and gives a different message than the real Bible that doesn't have the DOZENS of incontrovertible errors their fake bibles used thus far have.

Those videos listed, when I last looked, had had a total of 203,020 views. I understand that some people had watched more than one time and were counted mor than once and some probably only watched part of the video but the number still has to be impressive.

On page 135 in the teachers comments section there is a quotation from something Jon Paulien wrote. You should read a bit about him. He was the dean of the school of religion at Loma Linda University until 2019. I wrote a review of one of his presentations that you can read at:

http://www.adventiststoday.com/Paulien1.pdf

You are advised to receive any pronouncements on Seventh-day Adventist doctrine emanating from the religion departments of Loma Linda University, La Sierra University, Walla Walla University, Southern University and Andrews University with a good deal of skepticism. Actually, you should do that with any Seventh-day Adventist institution of higher education at this time since at least some are infected with rank apostasy.

LESSON 11. Mission *to the* **Unreached: Part 2** (12/9/23 – 12/15/23)

Except for the use of fake bibles, I found nothing wrong with this lesson.

LESSON 12. Esther and Mordecai (12/16/23 – 12/22/23)

The memory text for this lesson is from the Roman Catholic NRSV. That's a fake bible you can use to prove fallen angels had sexual intercourse with human women and had children by them.

"When people began to multiply on the face of the ground, and daughters were born to them, the sons of God saw that they were fair; and they took wives for themselves of all that they chose. Then the LORD said, "My spirit shall not abide in mortals forever, for they are flesh; their days shall be one hundred twenty years." The Nephilim were on the earth in those days-and also afterward-when the sons of God went in to the daughters of humans, who bore children to them. These were the heroes that were of old, warriors of renown." Genesis 6:1-4 from the NRSV.

"And it came to pass, when men began to multiply on the face of the earth, and daughters were born unto them, That the sons of God saw the daughters of men that they were fair; and they took them wives of all which they chose. And the LORD said, My spirit shall not always strive with man, for that he also is flesh: yet his days shall be an hundred and twenty years. There were giants in the earth in those days; and also after that, when the sons of God came in unto the daughters of men, and they bare children to them, the same became mighty men which were of old, men of renown." Genesis 6:1-4 from the KJV.

You can prove that because the phrase "sons of God" doesn't appear elsewhere in the NRSV and because it makes a clear distinction between "sons of God" and "daughters of humans." The KVJ does have a verse that will easily remove the dilemma.

"For as many as are led by the Spirit of God, they are the sons of God." Romans 8:14 from the KJV.

LESSON 13. The End of God's Mission (12/23/23 - 12/29/23)

On page 105 it says Revelation 1:4-5 "alludes to all Three Members of the Godhead" and in the same paragraph there is a quotation of part of verse 5 from the NRSV.

"John to the seven churches that are in Asia: Grace to you and peace from him who is and who was and who is to come, and from the seven spirits who are before his throne, and from Jesus Christ, the faithful witness, the firstborn of the dead, and the ruler of the kings of the earth. To him who loves us and freed us from our sins by his blood," Revelation 1:4-5 from the NRSV.

The phrase "from him who is and was and who is to come" alludes to the Father because the verse adds "Jesus Christ" as another person. Apparently the author believes that "the seven spirits who are before his throne" refers to the Holy Spirit. I don't think the teaching of the Trinity is as well established in that passage as it is in another one.

"For there are three that bear record in heaven, the Father, the Word, and the Holy Ghost: and these three are one. And there are three that bear witness in earth, the Spirit, and the water, and the blood: and these three agree in one." 1 John 5:7-8 from the KJV.

"There are three that testify: the Spirit and the water and the blood, and these three agree." 1 John 5:7-8 from the NRSV.

1 John 5:7-8 is a lot shorter than the same verse in the KJV. That's because 1 John 5:7 has been omitted and verse 8 has been split to put a substitute reading in the place of 1 John 5:7. According to some scholars, this passage, called the Johannine Comma, doesn't belong in the Bible. It a problem for Unitarians who don't believe in the Trinity, i.e., the Holy Spirit is just a force and not a person and that Jesus is a created being that's a little less than God. Here is something that should forever put that theory to rest for anyone who believes there is really a Devil and that the dead know not anything.

"The doctrine that three persons are united in one Godhead finds its main support in the grossly falsified passage in the Epistle of John, the correct version of which reads: 'For there are three that bear witness, the Spirit, the water, and the blood: and the three of them are in accord'. (*1st John 5:8.*) To this has been added the spurious sentence: 'And there are three who bear witness in Heaven, the Father, the Word, and the Spirit, and these three are one'.' "Communication with the Spirit World by Johannes Greber page 369.

That is what a demon possessed man said while a demon was speaking through him. Apparently, Satan doesn't like 1 John 5:7 which makes me like it and makes me sure it belongs in the Bible.

Oh, and I almost forgot. Here are the answers to the two questions I asked on page 2 of this review.

Question: If a Seventh-day Adventist minister tells you that you should believe the whole "the Bible" he happens to be using whilst knowing that it contains errors, what would you call him or her? Answer: Liar.

Question: If a Seventh-day Adventist minister is using a "the Bible" containing errors and doesn't know that that "the Bible" contains errors, what would you call him or her?

Answer: Deceived or ignorant.

www.SatanIsDead.com www.InfallibleBible.com www.DeathConfusion.com www.AdventistsToday.com www.SabbathSchoolGuide.com